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>40 Years of Neutrino R&D @ BNL Chemistry Dep’t.

Nuclear and Radiochemistry

- **Done:** HOMESTAKE Radiochemical Detector  
  $C_2Cl_4; \; ^{37}Cl + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{37}Ar + e^- \; (~40 \; years)$

- **Done:** GALLEX Radiochemical Detector  
  $Ga; \; ^{71}Ga + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{71}Ge + e^- \; (1986 - 1998)$

- **Done:** SNO Water Čerenkov Real-time Detector  
  Ultra-pure $D_2O \; (1996 - \geq 2006)$

- **New:** #1 Focus - THETA-13  
  High-Precision Experiments at Daya Bay Nuclear Reactors  
  Real-time Detector (R&D) $Gd$ in Liquid Scintillator, Gd-LS (began 2004)

- **New:** SNO+ Real-time Detector (R&D) at SNOLab  
  $^{150}Nd-LS \; (began \; 2005)$  
  Double beta-decay

- **New:** LENS, MiniLENS Real-time Detector (R&D)  
  $^{115}In-LS \; (began \; 2000)$, Detect pp and $^7Be$ Solar Neutrinos

- **New:** Very Long-Baseline Neutrino Oscillations  
  $\nu_\mu$ Beam from Accelerator to DUSEL (R&D began 2002)

Note: Hahn became Leader of BNL Group in February 1987, the same time as SN-1987A
Neutrino Production in the Sun

Light Element Nuclear Fusion Reactions

\[ p + p \rightarrow ^2H + e^+ + \nu_e \]
99.75%

\[ p + e^- + p \rightarrow ^2H + \nu_e \]
0.25%

\[ ^2H + p \rightarrow ^3He + \gamma \]
85% ~15%

\[ ^3He + ^3He \rightarrow ^4He + 2p \]
15.07% 0.02%

\[ ^3He + ^4He \rightarrow ^7Be + \gamma \]
7Be + e^- → ^7Li + \gamma + \nu_e

\[ ^7Li + p \rightarrow \alpha + \alpha \]

\[ ^7Be + p \rightarrow ^8B + \gamma \]

\[ ^8B \rightarrow ^8Be^* + e^+ + \nu_e \]

SOLAR FUSION: \[ 4p \rightarrow ^4He + 2e^+ + 2\nu_e + 26\text{ MeV} \]

Primary neutrino source
\[ p + p \rightarrow D + e^+ + \nu_e \]
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Predicted Energy Spectra of Solar Neutrinos from the Standard Solar Model (SSM – Bahcall et al.)
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Radiochemical Solar Neutrino Detectors +

Nu Capture, $\nu_e + (A, Z) \rightarrow e^- + (A, Z+1)$*

✓ $^{37}\text{Cl} \rightarrow ^{37}\text{Ar}$ ($T_{1/2}= 35.0 \text{ d}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.814 \text{ MeV}$)

✓ $^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}$ ($T_{1/2}= 11.4 \text{ d}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.233 \text{ MeV}$)

✗ $^{127}\text{I} \rightarrow ^{127}\text{Xe}$ ($T_{1/2}= 36 \text{ d}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.789 \text{ MeV}$)

? $^{7}\text{Li} \rightarrow ^{7}\text{Be}$ ($T_{1/2}= 53 \text{ d}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.862 \text{ MeV}$)

? $^{81}\text{Br} \rightarrow ^{81}\text{Kr}$ ($T_{1/2}= 2 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.470 \text{ MeV}$)

(geochemical – test concept of steady-state sun)

✗ $^{98}\text{Mo} \rightarrow ^{98}\text{Tc}$ ($T_{1/2}= 4 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}, \text{E-threshold} > 1.74 \text{ MeV}$)

✗ $^{205}\text{Tl} \rightarrow ^{205}\text{Pb}$ ($T_{1/2}= 14 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}, \text{E-threshold} = 0.054 \text{ MeV}$)

Legend – “Successful and Otherwise”:
✓ = “Successful”, X = “Not successful”, ? = Did not get beyond R&D stage
Principles of Radiochemical Solar $\nu$ Detection

Nu Capture, $\nu_e + (A, Z) \rightarrow e^- + (A, Z+1)^*$

- Huge multi-ton detectors
- Locate deep underground; $(p,n)$ reaction mimics $\nu$ capture
- Do in batch mode, with solar exposures $\sim 2 \, T_{1/2}$
- Do sensitive radiochemical separations to separate product chemical element $(Z+1)$ from target $Z$: isolate $\sim 10$ product atoms from $\sim 10^{30}$ target atoms.
- Purify product, convert to suitable chemical form for high-efficiency, low-background nuclear counting
- Measured energy spectrum and half-life identify $(A, Z+1)^*$
- Note: $T_{1/2} \rightarrow \log$-ft value $\rightarrow \nu$ cross section (g.s. $\rightarrow$ g.s.)
Concerning low-background nuclear counting

- Einstein famously said that “God does not play dice.”
- A new aphorism (RLH): “The existence of Radon proves that God does play practical jokes.”
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Not All $\nu$ Experiments have worked: “Unsuccessful” Experiments - I

$^{127}$I $\rightarrow$ $^{127}$Xe ($T_{1/2} = 36$ d, E-threshold = 0.789 MeV)

- Developed by K. Lande et al. at U Penn to check the well-known Cl deficit
- Chemistry used was analogous to the Cl experiment
- Novel automated chemistry developed to segregate the product Xe into day and night fractions
- Prototype testing was ended when Homestake Mine was shut down after the Barrick Co. purchased the mine and the water pumps were shut down
“Unsuccessful” Experiments - II

$^{98}\text{Mo} \rightarrow ^{98}\text{Tc} \ (T_{1/2} = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ yr}, \ E\text{-threshold} > 1.74 \text{ MeV})$

- Developed by K. Wolfsberg et al. at Los Alamos to check the Cl result over geological time scale
- At the Henderson Mine in Colorado, identified a deep Mo-sulfide ore body that was adequately shielded from cosmic rays
- Novel chemical system was developed to separate Tc from Mo; was installed at chemical smelter where MoS was roasted to MoO
- Actual experiment was run with the deep ore; however, the smelter equipment was contaminated with cosmogenic Tc from previously processed Mo from shallow ore deposits
- Result: Their experimental neutrino production rate was several times larger than the SSM value
- Funding was never obtained to redo the experiment with properly cleaned equipment at the smelter → so experiment was aborted
Time Line for Successful Solar $\nu$ Experiments
(by V. Gavrin, modified by RLH)
Birth of Solar Neutrino Experiments

- 1965-67: Davis builds 615 ton chlorine ($\text{C}_2\text{Cl}_4$) detector
- Deep underground to suppress cosmic ray backgrounds.
  - Homestake Mine (4800 mwe depth)
- Low background proportional detector for $^{37}\text{Ar}$ decay.
  - $^{37}\text{Cl} + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{37}\text{Ar} + e^-$
  - Detect $^{37}\text{Ar} + e^- \rightarrow ^{37}\text{Cl} + \nu_e$ ($t_{1/2} \sim 37 \text{ d}$)
1965-Ray Davis began construction of the Cl Detector to look for $\nu_e$ from $\text{H} \rightarrow \text{He}$ fusion in the Sun
Chlorine Data 1970-1994
(Experiment was ended when Homestake Mine was shut down after the Barrick Co. purchased the mine)

“Davis Plot” with 108 runs, reveals $\nu$ deficit

$1 \text{ SNU} = 1 \text{ neutrino capture per sec per } 10^{36} \text{ target atoms}$
The Importance of Ray Davis’s Discoveries

- He was the first to observe neutrinos from the Sun; he used a **radiochemical method** for detection.
- This result **confirmed** our theories that stars produce energy by nuclear fusion → 2002 Nobel Prize in Physics.
- But we scientists expected that result.
- More exciting for us, he observed an **unexpected result**, too few neutrinos compared to the SSM.
- This deficit became known as the **Solar Neutrino Problem (SNP)**.
- *Initially many doubters thought that Ray was wrong, but follow-on exp’ts all confirmed the ν deficit.*
Personal Recollections About Ray

- After I rejoined BNL in 1987 to work on $\nu$’s, Ray and I would speak often about neutrino experiments. He never quite understood how I could manage to operate in a collaboration as large as GALLEX, and the even larger size of SNO was almost incomprehensible to him.

- In his later years, he worked in the lab at BNL, trying without success to develop a radiochemical method to detect geo-antineutrinos, using his existing Cl detector and the reaction $^{35}\text{Cl} + \text{anti-}\nu \rightarrow ^{35}\text{S}^* + e^+$.

- Many times I commented to him that most younger scientists did not know that he had spent most of his career in the BNL Chemistry Dep’t. I encouraged him to correct the misconception that he was a physicist. His reply: “Dick, I wasn’t even a chemist when I was doing the Cl experiment, I was a plumber.”
**SAGE** $^{71}\text{Ga} + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge} + e^-$

From Gavrin, TAUP-07

Baksan Neutrino Observatory, northern Caucasus, 3.5 km from entrance of horizontal adit,

2100 m depth (4700 m.w.e.)

Data taking: Jan 1990 - till present,

50 tons of metallic Ga.

Atoms of $^{71}\text{Ge}$ chemical are extracted and its decay is counted.

Sensitivity: One $^{71}\text{Ge}$ atom from $5 \times 10^{29}$ atoms Ga with efficiency $\sim 90\%$
SAGE
Measurement of the solar neutrino capture rate with gallium metal.
$^{71}\text{Ga}(\nu, e^-)^{71}\text{Ge}, E_{\text{th}} = 0.233 \text{ keV}$

Presently SAGE is the only experiment sensitive to the $pp$ neutrinos

It is one of the longest almost uninterrupted time of measurements among solar neutrino experiments

17 year period (1990 – 2006): 157 runs, 288 separate counting sets

Results: $66.2^{+3.3}_{-3.2}^{+3.5}_{-3.2}$ SNU or $66.2^{+4.8}_{-4.5}$ SNU (GALLEX 67.6 SNU)

SAGE continues to perform regular solar neutrino extractions every four weeks with ~50 t of Ga
\( m_{Ga} \) (tons)

\( m_{\text{of target}} \) (kg)

enrichment (% \(^{50}\text{Cr}\))

source specific activity (KCi/g)

source activity (MCi)

expected rate

\[ R = \frac{p_{\text{measured}}}{p_{\text{predicted}}} \]

\( R_{\text{combined}} \)

\( ^{51}\text{Cr} \) (27.7 days)

427 keV \( \nu \) (9.0%)

432 keV \( \nu \) (0.9%)

320 keV \( \gamma \)

747 keV \( \nu \) (81.6%)

752 keV \( \nu \) (8.5%)

\( ^{51}\text{V} \)

\( ^{37}\text{Ar} \) (35.4 days)

813 keV \( \nu \) (9.8%)

811 keV \( \nu \) (90.2%)

\( ^{37}\text{Cl} \) (stable)
Future Plans for SAGE

• **Further solar running for the next three years.** Want to get more pp data while Borexino is measuring $^{7}\text{Be}$ neutrinos.

• **Measurement of the response of their Ga solar neutrino experiment to neutrinos from a $^{51}\text{Cr}$ source with accuracy better than 5% (>2 MCi).**

• **Are considering feasibility of using energetic protons at an accelerator to measure $B(\text{GT})$ for $^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}$.**
GALLEX: $^{71}\text{Ga} + \gamma \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge}^* + e^-$

Threshold = 0.233 MeV

30.3 tons Ga in 100 tons of solution

50,000L of 8M GaCl$_3$ 2M HCl in H$_2$O

GaCl$_3$ $^{51}\text{Cr}$

N$_2$ + GeCl$_4$

N$_2$

H$_2$O

$\text{Ge}^{4+}$ hydrolyzes

Purify, Convert to GeH$_4$ for counting $^{71}\text{Ge}$

$\sim 10^{29}$ atoms Ga
Isolate $\sim 10$ atoms Ge with $\sim 95\%$ efficiency.
Recent Update from GALLEX*

Results of a recent complete re-analysis of the GALLEX data:

- Recalibrated each counter with $\sim 10^5$ inserted Ge decays, which they could not have done before completing the low rate solar runs
- Did full-blown Pulse Shape Analysis (PSA) instead of the previously used Rise Time Analysis (RTA)
- Improved the Rn-cut efficiency and the Background determinations
- Also applied this new information to their Cr-source data

* From T.Kirsten TAUP-07; W. Hampel, T. Kirsten F. Kaether, May 2008
Updated Davis Plot from GALLEX

(May 08)
Updated SNU's from GALLEX (May 08)
Updated GALLEX and GNO Values

(May 08)

![Graph showing solar neutrino production rate vs GALLEX/GNO periods]

- **GALLEX old** (rise time)
- **GALLEX new** (pulse shape)
- **GNO** (pulse shape)
Updated Mean SNU Values from GALLEX (PSA) and GNO (May 08)

- GALLEX I: 75.1 + 17.3 – 16.2
- GALLEX II: 82.8 +10.0 – 9.5
- GALLEX III: 49.5 + 10.7 – 9.8
- GALLEX IV: 89.2 +16.6 – 15.5
- GALLEX Combined Result (PSA) for I-IV:
  73.4 (+6.1 – 6.0) (+3.7 – 4.1)
- GNO (I+II+III)\textsubscript{2005}: 62.9 (+5.5 – 5.3) ± 2.5
- New GALLEX + GNO: 67.6 ± 4.0 ± 3.2 (stat ~ syst)
- Range of SSM predicted rates:
  - No oscillations 122 – 131 SNU
  - With oscillations 68 – 72 SNU (global fit)
Arsenic Tests in GALLEX

Made $^{71}\text{Ge}$ from $^{71}\text{As}^*$ Decay, not from $\nu^s$'s

Cyclotron produced $^{71}\text{As}$, added it to the Ga
Did multiple tests where varied the standing time, the chemical mixing and extraction conditions, method of Ge carrier addition

Purpose: to quantify any losses from chemical or ‘hot-atom’ effects

Did “triple-batch” comparison
$\approx 30\,000\,^{71}\text{As}$ atoms added to:
Tank sample
External sample
Calibration sample ($\gamma$-spectrum.)

Result: No Losses,
$^{71}\text{Ge}$ Recovery $99+\%$

The chemistry works!
GALLEX Cr- source update (PSA) (May 08)

- 2 source runs, S1, S2. Compared the measured $^{71}$Ge to the $^{71}$Ge expected from the known $^{51}$Cr decay rate.

  Mean S1+S2: $93 \pm 8\% \ (1\sigma)$  
  Gallex PL (1998)

  Re-evaluated Mean: $88.2 \pm 7.8\% \ (1\sigma)$  

  from S1: $95.3 \pm 11\%$, S2: $81.2 \pm 11\%$

- Value is $< 1\sigma$ from the expected $95 \pm 1\%$ contribution from the ground-state-only transition  
  Bahcall PR C (1997)

- So, the excited state contribution is probably close to 0, instead of $(5 \pm 3)\%$ as estimated by Bahcall

- Conclusion supported also by the SAGE Cr and Ar source results

- Note: GALLEX $^{71}$As-experiment excludes Ge-yield errors $>1\%$
The End of Gallium at Gran Sasso

Febr 28, 2006: Final Celebration Ceremony for GALLEX/GNO at Gran Sasso, ending a successful fifteen year period that started with the Inauguration Ceremony on November 30, 1990

GALLEX was decommissioned and dismantled.

The gallium was removed from LNGS; later was sold, in April 2007
SNO was designed to observe separately $\nu_e$ and all neutrino types to determine if low $\nu_e$ fluxes come from flavor change or solar models.
Conclusions – I

• The radiochemical Cl and Ga experiments have been important contributors to the advances in our understanding of $\nu$ properties, and in solving the SNP

• In the early 1990’s, they and Kamiokande were the only operating neutrino experiments

• However, the radiochemical experiments operate in batch mode,
  a) yielding only one physical quantity, the SNU (or production) rate, which is proportional to the solar $\nu$ flux.
  b) and which must be interpreted in terms of the SSM

• Contrast with the real-time detectors that see the neutrino interactions event by event,
  a) yielding several neutrino parameters, such as the $\nu$ spatial distribution in the detector, the energy spectra, directionality, and even the oscillation pattern
  b) SNO, by detecting both the CC and NC interactions, provided proof of flavor oscillations independent of the SSM,
Conclusions – II

• Real-time $\nu$ detectors have made consistent progress in lowering their detection thresholds, from $\sim 9$ MeV for Kamiokande to $\sim 1$ MeV for KamLAND and $\sim 0.3$ MeV for Borexino. Also see LENS, CLEAN, e-Bubble… for the solar pp region,

• As we have seen, SAGE will continue to run, perhaps even until the new real-time pp detectors will become realities,

• In my career, I have seen a natural progression in which nuclear chemical methods, where one observes the radioactive products of nuclear interactions after the interactions have occurred, have been supplanted by real-time detection of diverse nuclear phenomena, not only of neutrino reactions but also for example of nuclear fission and of complex heavy-ion high-energy nuclear reactions, such as those at RHIC.

• In view of this, I do not see that much incentive exists for developing new radiochemical $\nu$ detectors; certainly none appear imminent.
Conclusions – III

• However, I do think that (nuclear) chemists will continue to play a significant role in ν research, e.g.,
  a) in developing new detector systems, such as metal-loaded liquid scintillators, cryogenic detectors, …
  b) in detecting and reducing the levels of radioactive contaminants, such as U, Th, Ra, Rn, K…
  c) developing new radioactive neutrino calibration sources
  d) studying the long-term chemical interactions and compatibility of new detector substances with detector construction materials, such as the detector containment vessels
  e) being concerned about chemical safety issues…

• The bottom line is that development of new ν detectors requires expertise in several scientific disciplines
>40 Years of Neutrino R&D @ BNL Chemistry Dep’t.

Nuclear and Radiochemistry

- **Done:** HOMESTAKE Radiochemical Detector
  \[ \text{C}_2\text{Cl}_4; \quad ^{37}\text{Cl} + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{37}\text{Ar} + \text{e}^- \text{ (} \sim 40 \text{ years)} \]
- **Done:** GALLEX Radiochemical Detector
  \[ \text{Ga; } \quad ^{71}\text{Ga} + \nu_e \rightarrow ^{71}\text{Ge} + \text{e}^- \text{ (1986 - 1998)} \]
- **Done:** SNO Water Čerenkov Real-time Detector
- **New:** #1 Focus - THETA-13
  High-Precision Experiments at Daya Bay Nuclear Reactors
  Real-time Detector (R&D) Gd in Liquid Scintillator, Gd-LS (began 2004)
- **New:** SNO+ Real-time Detector (R&D) at SNOLab
  \[ ^{150}\text{Nd-LS} \text{ (began 2005)} \text{ Double beta-decay} \]
- **New:** LENS, MiniLENS Real-time Detector (R&D)
  \[ ^{115}\text{In-LS} \text{ (began 2000), Detect pp and } ^7\text{Be Solar Neutrinos} \]
- **New:** Very Long-Baseline Neutrino Oscillations
  \[ \nu_\mu \text{ Beam from Accelerator to DUSEL (R&D began 2002)} \]

Note: Hahn became Leader of BNL Group in February 1987, the same time as SN-1987A